

that each station moves up to the 75,000 or that you could juggle around to minimize it in that way?

SENATOR V. JOHNSON: Senator DeCamp,...

SENATOR DECAMP: Is he on?

SENATOR V. JOHNSON: I don't know if I am on or not. Senator DeCamp, I have had the data, I don't have it right here on my desk, my aide will get it in a moment. My recollection is that there were like 700 wholesalers, in effect, affected by the shrinkage allowance. This, there is about 170 of those that will be adversely affected by this change with the bulk not being adversely affected by this change. Now in terms of the numbers of wholesalers who have several plants that can divide into more than, you know, that might want to divide up into several parts, I don't have a good figure on that.

SENATOR DECAMP: Okay. Is it fair to say that anything above the actual shrinkage occurrence, anything above that that we are currently giving is in effect and in reality a gift or a subsidy to these individuals?

SENATOR V. JOHNSON: I have always believed that, Senator DeCamp, so I believe it will be fair to say that.

SENATOR DECAMP: Is it fair then also to say that the first thing we should do is find out in 1985 what in fact is the actual shrinkage that does typically occur both in the State of Nebraska and in other states so whatever figure we end up with is in effect and in reality a true reflection of what the shrinkage is rather than an arbitrary and improper subsidy?

SENATOR V. JOHNSON: It would seem eminently fair to do exactly that and the Revenue Committee has worked valiantly at that for the last seven years.

SENATOR DECAMP: Do you have a general figure as to what the actual shrinkage in reality is, honest to goodness shrinkage for the typical station?

SENATOR V. JOHNSON: My personal belief is that the actual shrinkage is approximately 1 percent but that is for a normative system that involves very few wholesalers and